




# Racial attacks? Yes, and most of the victims are **WHITE**



*Terry May: murdered in street by black mob*




*Baby Nicola with mother: Blacks slashed her with razor*

## WHITE VICTIMS OF BLACK VIOLENCE



*70-year-old Elsie Shuttleworth of Lewisham (above) was stopped and beaten up in the street by a young Black. She is pictured here in hospital after the attack.*

*Below a constable receives first aid from a colleague after becoming a victim of black rioting in Brixton.*



## LIVING UNDER SIEGE

*Widow Alice McLean (above) can only sleep safely at night with locks and chains on her doors and steel mesh coverings on her windows. Her home in Toxteth, Liverpool, has had to be turned into a fortress in order to protect her from black gangs who have terrorised elderly white residents in the area. Alice is just one of many old folk who live bolted and chained up for their own safety.*



## SHOULD WE CELEBRATE V.E. DAY?

AS EVERYONE KNOWS, there has been a lot of public controversy about the question of whether we should celebrate the 40th Anniversary of D Day later this year. Perhaps we may be allowed to say our piece on the subject.

We wholly understand the feelings of those war veterans who gave some of the best years of their lives fighting for Britain in World War II and who saw many of their comrades killed. It is natural that they should wish to celebrate an event which they were taught to regard as a victory and that they should resent the Government's initial decision — later changed — not to have any celebrations for fear of offending the Germans.

Indeed we regard any decision to cancel a national event for fear of offending another nation as being an ill founded decision.

## Two questions

On the other hand, two questions must be asked: Firstly, why do we give such special significance to the 'victory' in World War II, while we do not give the same significance to other great victories in the past, such as the victory at Agincourt, the victory of Drake over the Spanish Armada, the victory of Nelson at Trafalgar and that of Wellington at Waterloo and, not least, the victory of Wolfe at Quebec — none of which we commemorate today to anything like the same degree as events of World War II?

The second question that must be asked is perhaps an unpopular one: Can we really, with hindsight, regard the outcome of World War II as a victory at all? We are supposed to have fought to maintain the sovereignty of small nations of Eastern Europe. What sovereignty do those nations have today? We are supposed to have fought to defend Europe from Totalitarianism. Today half of Europe is controlled by the biggest totalitarian empire ever — an empire which we helped to create in World War by allying ourselves with Soviet Russia and acquiescing in bringing Soviet forces to the banks of the Elbe. We are supposed to have fought to defend Britain from foreign invasion. Take a look at the streets of Notting Hill, Brixton, Southall or Toxteth and see how successful we have been!

The earlier victories to which we referred were REAL victories, for they enhanced British interests, British wealth and British power — and they were not achieved at the expense of collaborating with Britain's enemies. Yet when their anniversaries fall they are accorded little pomp or ceremony. Isn't it all rather strange?

*British Nationalist*, though it believes in racial differences, opposes race-hatred. All those who oppose multi-racialism should attack the politicians who promote it, not the immigrants, who are merely its victims.

# THE RACE WAR AGAINST THE BRITISH PEOPLE

RECENTLY the British public has been subjected to a barrage of publicity by press and broadcasting networks concerning 'racial attacks' committed against the country's coloured immigrant community. We have had several TV documentaries dealing with this issue, always spotlighting 'racial incidents' in which Blacks or Asians are portrayed as the victims, such as the setting fire to an Asian house here or the daubing of graffiti on a West Indian home there. If a coloured immigrant is physically assaulted — or even just insulted verbally — in the street there is a huge public hue and cry about the affair, always in which the immigrants are depicted as the innocent victims of white 'thuggery' and constantly living in terror of white 'racism'.

This hysteria has now got to the point where several borough council housing authorities are ordering the eviction from council property of white tenants alleged to be guilty of 'racial harassment' against their coloured neighbours — again with much attendant publicity in the press and over TV and radio.



MARK ALLEN  
Raped women aged 79 and 81

All this public outcry masks the real truth about racial attacks in Britain, which is that the vast majority of those attacks are being committed, not against coloured immigrants, but against Whites, and that most of the culprits are not white 'racists' but young black hooligans whose motives are sometimes racial, sometime purely criminal and sometimes a mixture of both.

Taking the London area as just one example, recent figures released by Scotland Yard show that 75 per-cent of the racially identified muggings committed in the last year for which statistics are available were committed by Blacks. The same figures showed that the vast majority of the victims of these muggings were Whites.

### RIOT ORGY

People will remember the orgy of rioting that took place in Britain's towns and cities in 1981. It is an estab-

lished fact that the majority of the rioters in question were black and that their rioting was directed mainly against white people. This rioting is still continuing, though it is much played down by the mass media. Only last month a gang of Blacks went berserk at a reggae concert in Brixton when police arrived following up an enquiry about a firearm. One young white police constable, Wayne Gargan, was knifed three times and seriously injured. Other policemen were beaten up by the black mob. The incident made only small national news.

Literally thousands of horrific racial attacks are being committed by blacks against whites every year. Here are just a few cases that have occurred in the last four or five years:—

- A young mother, Sally Meynhart, was walking her baby daughter in her pram in Streatham, South London. Mother and child were waylaid by a gang of young Blacks demanding money. To get Mrs. Meynhart to pay up one of the Blacks slashed the baby girl, Nicola, with a razor. After Mrs. Meynhart reported the incident to the police she was attacked again and beaten up by the gang and threats were made against her home.

- Michelle Richards, a half blind white girl of 15, was picked upon by a gang of black youths in Deptford, South London, who threw acid over her, shouting 'white bastards' as they did so. No motive of robbery was evident in this incident and the police treated it as a racial one.

- Robert Tally, an 84-year-old pensioner, was walking home from a working men's club in South London when two black youths set about him and stabbed him in the back and stomach 22 times, leaving him in a pool of blood.

- Another young white mother, Julie Brougham, was walking her baby in Walworth, South London, when a black gang stopped her and demanded she hand over her handbag and rings. When she refused, one of them shoved the jagged end of a broken bottle into the face

of baby Kelly Marie, causing severe cuts.

- Mrs. Elsie Shuttleworth, a 70-year-old pensioner, was attacked by a young Black and badly beaten up in Lewisham, South London, in an attempt to take her bag.

- Terry May, a 19-year-old partly disabled youth, was attacked by a large mob of black youths in Thornton Heath, South London, and beaten to death for no reason other than that he was white. Terry was no 'racist' but was known to have black friends. This did not save him when the black mob caught up with him in the street. Some of the Blacks were arrested and charged but none with murder. They all got off ridiculously lightly.

If we had the space here we could document in detail hundreds more such attacks that have come to our

### BROKEN BOTTLE ATTACKERS OF WHITE BABY

These are photofits of the two black thugs who tried to mug a young white mother in South London. When she first refused to hand over her bag, one of them cut her baby daughter, Kelly Marie, in the face with a broken bottle.



attention in which the attackers have been black or Asian and the victims white. Yet, strangely, when the media go on one of their propaganda binges about 'racial attacks' these horrific incidents are not mentioned; all the emphasis is on coloured immigrants who have been attacked by Whites, whether or not a racial motive in the attacks is proved.

### HOME OFFICE ADMITS TRUTH

The fact that the great majority of racial attacks in Britain are carried out by non-Whites against Whites, and not the other way round, was confirmed in a report published by the Home Office at the beginning of 1982. In this report it was stated that of 2,851 racial



STEPHEN PRENDERGAST  
Raped 11 women

incidents recorded in the period preceding only 775 were committed by Whites against Coloureds, compared with 2,076 committed by Coloureds against Whites. When the mass media came to publicise this report they deliberately left this statistic out of their commentaries and instead focussed public attention on selected

cases taken from the 775 incidents in which Whites could be shown as the villains.

As a result of this slanted and deliberately dishonest reporting, the British public is being given the impression that there is a horrendous racial war being carried on in this country by white 'racists' against the non-white population, with all the combined power of press, radio and TV being mobilised to whip up sympathy for the non-Whites and portray them as a poor and oppressed and victimised minority.

There is indeed a race war going on, but it is mainly a race war against the native indigenous British people, the majority of them women or disabled or defenceless old folk, least able to hit back. Only honest and outspoken papers like *British Nationalist* and other patriotic publications without mass readership are prepared to print the truth about this race war. It is a race war against YOU, the people whose country this is. And if you don't stand up and fight your country is going to be taken away from you!

## YOUR LETTERS

Sir,—It is easy to read the letter from Mohamed Arshad (October 1984) and to dismiss it as the utterance of a fool, but on reflection, the message it brings is crystal clear. Arshad has said what a possible 6 million of his countrymen ("our guests") think.

With the present ethnic policy of birth control, one in the cradle and one in the oven, together with the help from the race traitors of various political persuasions, his aims could be achieved.

This must not be allowed to happen, and we Nationalists are the only ones who can prevent it.

Each and every one of us must do all in our power to get the public to wake up to the situation and fight for our survival. To use Arshad's words, to fight "for our children's children."

J. D. HAMILTON,  
Wakefield, Yorks.

British National Party badges are obtainable for £1.25 (incl. postage) from PO Box 457, London SE20 7QE.



Brixton 1981: British police in front line of race war



# A POLITICIAN'S DUTY—AS SEEN BY ONE OF THEM

**LIBERAL LEADER** David Steel has criticised his Alliance partner Dr. David Owen of the SDP for allowing his party to swing too far to the right. According to Steel, Owen is "barking up the wrong political tree." The Alliance should be going

after the Labour vote in the North and the inner cities.


This provides a perfect glimpse into the mind of the modern politician. What constitutes the right policy is not what benefits the nation but what provides the best chance of an increase

in electoral support. "Going after votes" is the name of the game; any policy, however bad and however ridiculous, is desirable if it is thought to be a vote-catcher.

Such is the political racket that masquerades under the name of 'Democracy'. Such

are the cheap little opportunists and place-seekers who operate the racket. God help Britain if they are allowed to go on much longer!

**DR. DAVID OWEN**  
*Steel thinks he should concentrate more on vote-catching*



## Britain's industrial crisis

**LABOUR SHADOW CHANCELLOR** Roy Hattersley was reported last month as producing a new programme to create extra jobs in Britain by giving special incentives to investors to put their money behind British industry instead of investing abroad. The Hattersley plan is that special tax concessions will be given to those investors to encourage them to 'repatriate' their capital to this country. This plan was claimed by the Shadow Chancellor to represent a 'tough crackdown' on overseas investments.

In fact, far from being 'tough', the Hattersley programme is hopelessly weak and inadequate. It will fail for

three essential reasons:—


Firstly, to 'discourage' the flow of investment capital overseas by tax inducements to invest in this country is not enough. The entire resources of British investment capital must be mobilised to get industry in Britain moving, and that means that movements of capital must be directed to that purpose by government decree. No overseas investment should be permitted except in special cases where the government is satisfied that British economic interests will be served.

Secondly, the plan does not solve the basic problem of shortage of money in the British economy. This can only

be solved by government taking over from the private banking sector the power of money creation and issuing into the economy interest-free regular injections of new money at a rate fixed in accordance with the rise in the gross national product.

Finally, the pumping of extra money into British industry will not yield anything like satisfactory results unless the huge import flow in manufactured goods is halted and the home market protected for industry in Britain. Industry receiving huge injections of capital must be able to ensure that it can sell sufficient of its increased output to repay the investors. It can only do so by having access to an assured home market protected from imports. The Hattersley plan excludes any such policy. Labour is in fact committed to economic internationalism which rules out protection.

All that the Hattersley plan represents is vote-catching rhetoric. It is no solution to Britain's crisis. That solution will only come by rejecting the worn-out, tried and failed prescriptions of the old left and the old right



**BRITISH INDUSTRY**  
*Will not recover through half-baked measures*

and embracing the revolutionary programme of economic nationalism as formulated by the BNP.

## Euro-meddlers want us to soft-peddle against IRA

**HAVE YOU HEARD** of the latest piece of impertinence by the European Parliament? It voted recently in favour of investigating alleged violations of 'human rights' by Britain in her security measures against IRA terrorism.

This was in response to a complaint to the Parliament by Labour Euro-MP Leslie Huckfield that such rights had been violated in the process of arrests and questioning of Irish residents in the United Kingdom suspected as having IRA connections.

So it now looks as if we are going to have to suffer the presence in this country of a team of continental meddlers sent over here to monitor the operations of our police and security forces.

No doubt the Government will bend over backwards to make them welcome and give all possible assistance to them in their investigations.


What the Government should

in fact do is tell them and the European Parliament spawning them to go to hell.

The war against the IRA is a British affair and a British affair only. It is not the business of the Irish Republic. It is not the business of the United States. It is not the business of the Common Market.

Actually, far from violating 'human rights' in the hunting down of IRA criminals in this country, the Government has given those 'rights' much too much consideration. Its anti-terrorist campaign should be much more severe and uncompromising than it is, and if that causes IRA sympathisers to go yowling to the European Parliament let them yowl.

We should simply tell the world that we will not tolerate ANY outside interference in the handling of our own domestic problems. We should tell the meddlers, from whatever quarter they may come, to KEEP OUT!



**Meddlers' Forum: The European Parliament**

## After Falklands


### ARGENTINA ARMS AGAIN —WITH BRITISH HELP

SINCE THE END of the Falklands War Argentina has rearmed so rapidly that now she is in a better position to invade the Falklands than she was in 1982, according to a report published last month by the Falklands War expert Dr. Paul Rogers of Bradford University.

The report says that the Argentines now have nearly six times as many Exocet missiles as in 1982; their aircraft, at the limit of their range then, can now refuel in mid-air; they have sophisticated anti-runway bombs which could be used against the new Mount Pleasant airport on the Falklands.

In addition, Argentina now has 160 front-line aircraft, compared with 130 at the start of the war in 1982.

But the extraordinary revelation in the report is that British industry has played a large part in helping the Argentines in this big new arms build-up. Rolls Royce has supplied Olympus gas turbines for new Argentine frigates being built in West Germany, and the firm of David Brown is supplying the Argentines with the main engine transmission systems for those frigates. Argentine corvettes now under construction will be fitted with Decca radar.



**SUNK IN VAIN?**  
*The Argentine cruiser Captain Belgrano goes down in Falklands War. Now new Argentine warships are being built with British parts*

Has the Thatcher Government done anything to stop this export business, which could jeopardise a British possession and threaten British lives? Not a bit of it! The Thatcher Tory doctrine is that private industry and commerce must be allowed to operate exactly as they like, without any interference from Government.

In effect, profit-greedy people in Britain could be stoking up the fires for a new Falklands War, and the Government is just standing idly by letting them do it!

**THE BIOLOGY OF THE RACE PROBLEM** (Prof. W. C. George) £1.00 (22p). The race equality hoax destroyed by an academic expert. 70pp.

**THE CAMP OF THE SAINTS** (Jean Raspail) £2.40 (59p). A sensational novel about the destruction of the white world by Asian immigrant invasion. 1973, 311pp.

**DID SIX MILLION REALLY DIE?** (Richard Harwood) 50p (13p). The fact-filled pamphlet that refutes the Holocaust legends and evoked Zionist frenzy. 28pp.

**THE HOAX OF THE TWENTIETH CENTURY** (Prof. A. R. Butz) £2.40 (59p). A scientist applies clinical methods

## BOOKS FOR YOUR LIBRARY

Here are just a few of the books available from the BNP Book Service. The figures in brackets represent packing and postage charges. Send cash with orders or write for full list to: P.O. Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

to an investigation of the 'Holocaust' and completely dissects the myth. 2nd ed. 1977, 315pp.

**THE MONEY BOMB** (James Gibb Stuart) £3.95 (34p). Discusses the failure of our debt-based financial system and describes its role in creating inflation. 1983, 158pp.

**THE NEW UNHAPPY LORDS** (A. K. Chesterton) Hardback £3.00 (52p). Paperback (limited supply) £1.50 (46p). An exposure of the financial power that

seeks to drag mankind into a global police state. 1972, 255pp.

**RACE, INTELLIGENCE AND EDUCATION.** 30p (13p). A reply to the notorious NUT racism booklet, packed with facts and cogent argument. 1979, 20pp.

**RACE AND POLITICS** (H. B. Isherwood) 30p (13p). Shows that a sense of racial identity is an essential element of nationhood. 1974, 36pp.

**CENSORED HISTORY** (Eric Butler) £1.00 (18p). An examination of some of the facts of recent history that have not found their way into textbooks and newspapers. 1974, 48pp.

**THE ZIONIST CONNECTION II** (Alfred M. Lilienthal) £13.00 (£1.72p). The second edition of a sensational exposure of Zionist power politics. 1982, 904pp.

**TREASON AT WESTMINSTER** (Dr. Kitty Little) 50p (13p). Text of a memorandum to the Royal Commission on Criminal Procedure entitled *Infiltration of the Government by members of subversive or criminal organizations for the purpose of furthering the interests of those organizations.* 1979, 24pp.

## When law breaks down

A MAN in a New York Subway train gets held up by three black muggers, pulls out a gun and shoots them, disabling one of them for life. After a tip off he is caught and charged. As we go to press the outcome of the case is still awaited, but what is known is the enormous wave of sympathy that the man, Bernard Goetz, has attracted among ordinary Americans for his action. Radio stations and letter columns of newspapers were crammed with messages of support.

Shortly afterwards came another incident in the United States. In Chicago a man was returning home from a grocery store with two bags full of purchases when he was accosted by two youths (it is unclear from reports whether they were black or not). When the two youths tried to rob the man he drew a gun and shot them. One of them was reported as not likely to live.

The man in this incident also won huge sympathy. Even at local police headquarters a woman sergeant said: "People defend themselves, God bless 'em."

There will of course be some who will express outrage against attempts at self-protection of this kind. If everyone took the law unto themselves, they will claim, where would we be? There would be total anarchy. Law enforcement must be left to the police. New York's Jewish Mayor, a certain Mr. Koch, was one of these: he loudly condemned the action of Goetz on the subway.

The trouble with these self-righteous attitudes is that they would be all very well in a situation in which the powers of law enforcement were operating properly, in which crimes like mugging were kept within bounds, in which criminals like muggers, when they came to court, could expect really stiff sentences, and indeed in which the criminal community itself numbered only a very tiny portion of the total population, small enough for the police to be able to protect law abiding citizens from its transgressions. In fact none of these happy conditions operates in modern America or indeed modern Britain. In both countries governments have completely lost their grip; crime is escalating at an uncontrollable rate; police resources are simply inadequate to cope and what resources there are are held in check by a multitude of bureaucratic rules aimed at protecting the 'rights' of the criminal; courts are presided over by wishy washy 'liberal' judges and magistrates who are frightened of punishing offenders as they should be punished.

And, not the least important, in both countries there are now large communities of people, particularly in the bigger cities, to whom Western standards of behaviour are strange and alien and who almost certainly never will be able to comprehend the justice of our laws.

In a situation like this it is inevitable that the ordinary law-abiding citizen of the indigenous native stock, seeing that official agencies are unable and unwilling to defend him, will take the necessary steps to defend himself. We cannot condemn those who act in this way; on the contrary, they deserve our respect.

And if the self-righteous prigs who condemn them do not like the way they act it is up to those people to do something about cleaning up crime and making the streets again safe to walk in — so that such independent initiatives in law enforcement become unnecessary.



1985

# BIG ACTIVITY PROGRAMME PLANNED

A LULL in the programme of major activities over the Yuletide and New Year period has enabled the British National Party leadership to work out and formulate a party strategy and activity programme for 1985. At a special conference in London on the 19th January presided over by John Tyndall and attended by regional and national officers the main points of this strategy and activity programme were extensively discussed and certain vital decisions made.

The conference fell into three sections: (1) Preparation for the next General Election; (2) Major activities for 1985; (3) Party propaganda.

## GENERAL ELECTION

There was careful discussion of the matter of what the approach should be to the next General Election, taking into account media reports that the deposits for candidates would be raised by Act of Parliament to £1,000 (a recent report has suggested that this may be reduced to £500). In view of the much greater financial investment required to achieve the dividend of two 5-minute election broadcasts on TV and radio, the conference considered whether, on the basis of past dividends reaped by such broadcasts, such a large investment was worthwhile and whether financial resources might be more profitably channelled into other areas of party development. In

## YOUNG NATIONALIST

Read *Young Nationalist*, the paper for nationalist youth. Send 20p for sample copy to: S.E. Vale Lodge, Perry Vale, London SE23.

## BNP Recordings

### RALLY '82

Speeches from the BNP national rally in London on October 16th 1982, the theme of which was 'Unite and fight for Britain's future!'

Side 1: Includes speech by Charles Parker; Side 2: Speech by John Tyndall

### VOICES OF NATIONALISM

Side 1: Recordings of speeches from the Nationalist Unity rally in London on September 5th 1981. Hear John Tyndall, Kenneth McKilliam, Len Bearsford Walker and others. Side 2: Talk by John Tyndall on the coming British Revolution.

### TYNDALL SPEAKS I

Side 1: Talk on 'Our Anglo-Saxon heritage' (about the world-wide dispersal of the peoples of British stock); Side 2: Talk on 'Britain's economic crisis'.

### TYNDALL SPEAKS II

Side 1: Talk on 'The case for Nationalism' (the internationalist argument demolished); Side 2: Talk on 'Tragedy of the 20th Century' (analysis of the results of the Second World War).

These 4 sets of recordings are presented in cassette form and are available at £3.50 each (with 18p postage) from: BNP Recordings, Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB.


## SPEARHEAD

For an in-depth view of Nationalist policies read *Spearhead*, a 20 page monthly magazine which supports the British National Party. Price 50p. Annual subscription £8.00. 63p for sample copy to: *Spearhead*, PO Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB.

## BUY BRITISH NATIONALIST IN BULK

*British Nationalist* retails at 12p from your local seller. Would bulk purchasers please order only in the quantities listed below. Standing orders welcomed.

10 copies £1.20 + 34p post  
25 copies £2.70 + 98p post  
50 copies £4.80 + £1.72 post  
100 copies £8.40 + £2.10 post  
150 copies £12.00 + £2.30 post  
200 copies £15.00 + £2.50 post  
300 copies £21.00 + £2.65 post  
400 copies £26.40 + £2.95 post  
500 copies £32.00 (Bulk rates 1,000 copies £62.00) by airline  
Cheques/postal orders to *British Nationalist*, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS. Please keep orders and enquiries concerning *British Nationalist* totally separate from other correspondence in order to avoid delay and confusion.



ALWAYS ACTIVE  
Above is a BNP paper sale in Bradford, Yorks.

the outcome it was decided that the party would set an immediate target of approximately 20 seats to fight at the General Election and would go flat

out to fight those seats with a substantial back-up campaign aimed at achieving the best possible votes per seat. All electoral resources in the

## REPORT FROM THE FRONT

### MR. BRONS SAYS 'I'VE HAD ENOUGH'

ANDREW BRONS, who took over the post of Chairman of the National Front from John Tyndall when the latter resigned 5 years ago, resigned his office at the end of last year. His resignation is symptomatic of a series of internal crises in the party which have not abated.

In a letter to his successor, Mr. Ian Anderson, published in *National Front News*, Brons says that he took over the Chairman's post "extremely reluctantly" in January 1980 and that the performance of his duties has had such an adverse effect on his domestic life that he must now step down. He waited to do so, he claims, until he was able to feel that the time was opportune for handing over the reins. Now, he maintains, "the party is at a peak and I can leave the chairmanship with a clear conscience."

That the NF is "at a peak" is certainly news to us, for all the inside information we have from that quarter indicates exactly the opposite. In fact the National Front is at a lower ebb in membership strength and morale than at any time since its foundation in 1967. Mr. Brons certainly knows this and we can therefore dismiss his claims about the NF being "at a peak" as pure bluff.

As for Mr. Brons taking over the post of NF Chairman "extremely reluctantly", this certainly was not the impression we received in 1980. At the time John Tyndall, after announcing in January of that year that his resignation would take effect from the end of that month if certain terms he had put to the party's Directorate were not met, contacted Brons and proposed a meeting between the two men whereby a negotiation of differences might be worked out and his (Tyndall's) resignation made unnecessary. Brons chose a lame excuse for wriggling out of this meeting which showed clearly that in the matter of succeeding Tyndall he was very far from reluctant.

In commenting on Brons' resignation, *National Front News* says that he was "undoubtedly the best Chairman we ever had and who has only stepped down at a time he is sure of the party's future."

This is rubbish. Brons stepped down precisely because he was never less sure about the party's future — and with good reason. As for his being the best Chairman the NF ever had, let us not argue but just state the facts.

## THE RECORD

When John Tyndall took over as NF Chairman in 1972 the party's membership was certainly not more than 2,000 — we know this because people known and trusted by us had access to the files at the time and did a careful count. When Tyndall resigned in 1980 and Brons took over the membership was in the region of 7-8,000.

At the time of Brons' recent resignation that membership has almost certainly sunk to below 2,000. Although we cannot ascertain the exact figures from an examination of membership lists, we have some guide from the turn-out on major activities and at the party's recent Annual Meeting. In *National Front News* it was stated that about 350 were present at this meeting. If for one moment we accept that figure as correct, it represents a huge drop from the AGM of January 1979 when over 1,000

packed into Seymour Hall. The figure, however, is almost certainly not correct. Two eye witnesses present at the recent AGM told us that the attendance was just over 200. This figure would seem to be confirmed by *National Front News'* own reports of the numbers voting on a constitutional resolution which represented the most important event of the meeting. According to *NFN* the resolution was carried by 196 votes to 20, i.e. it was voted upon by 216 delegates. Now in our experience never more than a very tiny number abstain on resolutions of this kind, so that the estimate of slightly over 200 present given by our own witnesses would seem to be confirmed.

This ludicrous exaggeration of attendance figures was exceeded in *NFN's* report on November's Remembrance Day parade in London, in which it was claimed that the NF attracted "about 1,500" marchers. Our own witnesses were present at this event as well and inform us that approximately 400-450 turned up. Even if, however, we accept *NFN's* grossly inflated figure (a more than threefold exaggeration in fact), this contrasts with 5-6,000 present on the same parade in 1977.

When we turn to elections it is the same story. In 1979 under John Tyndall's leadership the NF contested 301 seats in a general election. In 1983 under the leadership of Andrew Brons it managed just 58 seats. Furthermore, its average vote over the much larger area in 1979 was markedly higher than over the smaller area in 1983.

We state these facts to put the record straight and to refute the ridiculous propaganda emanating from NF Headquarters. It does not mean that we put the blame on Andrew Brons alone for the catastrophic record of the National Front during his tenure of office. This record is the responsibility of the party's collective leadership, as constituted in the National Directorate. Nevertheless, Brons, as one who strongly defended that system of collective leadership when it was challenged in 1980 and who must have had some influence on events since, must bear his share of responsibility.

We might finally comment on *National Front News'* assertion in its valedictory note on Brons that John Tyndall "deserted" the party in early 1980 when, as stated in the report, it was "fighting for its existence." This is a travesty of the truth. In January 1980 the Front had recently suffered a certain internal hiccup but its overall strength had been very little impaired, as was demonstrated on a march in London in February 1980 on which the numbers exceeded 2,000. John Tyndall, far from "deserting", simply put terms to the Directorate for his carrying on. Had those terms been accepted, Tyndall would have been bound to have stayed at his post — which indeed he would have done. That is not "deserting".

Andrew Brons in his recent resignation did not offer to the party any formula whereby he might continue as Chairman but made his act of resigning final and irrevocable. At the time of his departure the party was in a far, far worse condition than when Tyndall resigned and handed over to him. If the word "desertion" is to be used, it applies far more appropriately to him.

Come off it, *National Front News*, just whom do you think you are kidding?

coming year would be concentrated towards that objective. At the same time the party would keep its options open over the question of whether it would contest the 30 extra seats necessary to win broadcasting time. Such a decision would depend on the development and growth of the party over the next couple of years, and in the meantime nothing would be done to weaken the party's quest for the best possible campaign and results in the 20 or so initially selected seats. In fact provision was made for the contesting of 23 seats in the following areas: London (9), Plymouth, Leicester, Birmingham, Bradford, Leeds, Liverpool, Tyneside, Glasgow, Hull, Manchester, Gloucester, East Kent and Stoke. Preparation to fight these seats, including the selection of candidates, would begin very soon.

## MAJOR ACTIVITIES

A programme of major activities was drawn up which would represent an increase on the activities of 1984. At the same time it was decided to concentrate effort especially on just 4 national activities at which a full-scale national mobilisation of activists would be called for. Other activities would be designated as major regional activities and would depend for their support mainly on activists from the local region and neighbouring regions.

Those areas chosen for national activities were: Liverpool on March 16th; Leicester on April 27th (St. George's Day activity); Leeds and Bradford on June 1st (dual activity on earlier and later parts of same day) and London on October 12th (the major activity of the year).

Areas singled out for major regional activities during the year were: London (3), West Midlands, Stoke, Plymouth, Bristol, Tyneside, York and Glasgow (St. Andrew's Day activity).

It was agreed by all at the conference that much more must be done

this year to promote and advertise these activities with a view to attracting greater numbers from the general public to them and to enabling them to make national news. The activities would probably in all cases take the form of public meetings, mostly indoor but possibly some outdoor.

## PROPAGANDA

In the discussion given to propaganda it was generally agreed that the party must increase its output of printed publicity material in 1985 but there was careful examination of the question of which channels should receive the greatest priority. One important consideration was the small enquiry rate from standard door-to-door leafletting by comparison with the 1970s and probably due to a much greater volume of well printed commercial advertising material now being posted into people's homes. It was agreed to induce local branches to be more on their toes to take up local issues and to produce their own local leaflets in pursuit of these issues. Also it was agreed that there would be more emphasis on stickers and posters, which were still getting good returns in the way of enquiries. John Tyndall put to the meeting that the problem at the moment was one of having the people available to share in the task of producing this larger output of printed publicity material. It was agreed to do more to root out and mobilise all the talents existing in the party to this objective.

The conference was unanimous in agreeing that unity among Nationalists in Britain should be BNP policy in 1985 as in past years but that the leadership of the BNP had done all that could presently be done in the way of initiative to this end and that it was up to the leaders of other factions to show a less sectarian attitude on the matter before any further progress to unity could be made.

## WHAT WE STAND FOR

- The return of leadership and statesmanship to British affairs.
- A government that will put British interests first.
- British withdrawal from the European Common Market.
- Closer links with the White Commonwealth.
- Protection of British industry by the selective exclusion of foreign manufactured goods from Britain.
- A sane financial system which will give to the people the purchasing power to buy the goods they produce.
- The revival of British farming.
- British ownership and control of British industry and resources.
- A clamp-down on social security spongers and the restoration of the incentive to work.
- An end to immigration and a start to repatriation.
- British support of the Whites in South Africa.
- An end to financial aid to black dictatorships.
- The strengthening of Britain's defences.
- Tougher treatment of criminals.
- The smashing of the IRA, the death penalty for terrorists and firm support for the Loyalist majority in Northern Ireland.
- Repeal of the laws permitting Abortion and Homosexuality and a general toughening up of legislation against the promotion of obscenity in the media.
- The elimination of Communism in Britain, in the mass media, in the factory and in the schoolroom.

## WRITE TO US

To: British National Party, PO Box 115, Hove, East Sussex BN3 3SB  
Tick appropriate box

Please send me more information for which I enclose 20p. ☐

I wish to become a Full Member and enclose £6.00 (Half-rate for students and OAPs) ☐

I wish to become an Associate Member and enclose £3.00 (No half-rate) ☐

Name .....

Address .....

## SUBSCRIBE!

In order to make sure of receiving *British Nationalist* regularly, why not take out a subscription? The rate is £3.45 for 12 issues for subscribers in the British Isles. For subscribers overseas the rate is £4.00 for 12 issues (surface mail). Please make cheques/POs payable to *British Nationalist* and send to: *British Nationalist*, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

An additional charge of £3.00 is required on all foreign cheques.

Name .....

Address .....